

From: Mike Stone
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/18/02 11:24am
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

It's my belief that at least one point has been missed in the Government's position. The Government's contention, as I understand it, is that Microsoft is using it's operating system monopoly to unfairly gain dominance in internet browsers. That browser dominance would then secure their operating system monopoly. Although I believe that this is the case, I also believe that there is more to Microsoft's attempt to gain browser dominance. The internet is a relatively young (at least the www portion of it) development which as of now no one controls. Internet standards are agreed upon and approved by a committee of various internet entities. If a company wants to put out proprietary software for the internet and charge for it there is a good chance that internet users will find a free alternative. If a company has a monopoly in the browser they are also the only company that can ignore the committees standards and use their own. Once they have established their dominance with internet standards they can market their for-profit products (Windows 2000, site server, etc.) as the only products that guarantee internet compliance since they would decide what complies. This would then help them gain dominance in other areas. This is the path that Microsoft has time and again chosen. Gain dominance in the operating system market and then scare consumers into thinking that if you are not using their operating system, then you won't be compatible with the rest of the world. Same with their office suite. For as much talk as Microsoft makes about innovating, I can't think of a single product that they make that wasn't made by another company before them. Windows = Macintosh OS; Word = WordPerfect; Excel = Lotus 123; Internet Explorer = Netscape; etc. They don't innovate, they copy and then bully people into using their versions through fear that they won't be able to do what everyone else can do. It's another reason they don't let competition in on the same Windows APIs that they use in application development.

I believe that breaking them up is the wrong idea (and was glad when you dropped that as an option). I think the best thing to do is make Microsoft release it's Windows APIs to application developers so they can compete on a level playing field. In addition I would require them to develop their browsers to only the commonly agreed upon standards of the internet for the next 5 years. This gives the internet a chance to mature in an open competitive way, instead of just following Microsoft's "innovations."

Thanks

Mike Stone